M. Zola sits down to give us a picture of the Second Empire. Who cares for the Second Empire now? It is out of date. Life goes faster than Realism, but Romanticism is always in front of Life.

The third doctrine is that Life imitates Art far more than Art imitates Life. This results not merely from Life's imitative instinct, but from the fact that the self-conscious aim of Life is to find expression, and that Art offers it certain beautiful forms through which it may realise that energy. It is a theory that has never been put forward before, but it is extremely fruitful, and throws an entirely new light upon the history of Art.

upon the history of Art.

It follows, as a corollary from this, that external Nature also imitates Art. The only effects that she can show us are effects that we have already seen through poetry, or in paintings. This is the secret of Nature's charm, as well as the explanation of Nature's weakness.

The final revelation is that Lying, the telling of beautiful untrue things, is the proper aim of Art. But of this I think I have spoken at sufficient length. And now let us go out on the terrace, where "droops the milk-white peacock like a ghost," while the evening star "washes the dusk with silver." At twilight nature becomes a wonderfully suggestive effect, and is not without loveliness, though perhaps its chief use is to illustrate quotations from the poets. Come I We have talked long enough,

PEN, PENCIL AND POISON

A Study in Green

of things delightful, but also a forger of no mean or ordinary capaart, being not merely a poet and a painter, an art-critic, an antiquarian extremely artistic temperament, followed many masters other than rule. Rubens served as ambassador, and Goethe as state councillor, and Milton as Latin secretary to Cromwell. Sophocles held civic or any age. bilities, and as a subtle and secret poisoner almost without rival in this and a writer of prose, an amateur of beautiful things and a dilettante Griffiths Wainewright, the subject of this brief memoir, though of an representatives of their country; and Charles Lamb's friend, Thomas else seems of much importance. Yet there are many exceptions to this very concentration of vision and intensity of purpose which office in his own city; the humorists, essayists, and novelists of modern tion. To those who are pre-occupied with the beauty of form nothing characteristic of the artistic temperament is in itself a mode of limita-America seem to desire nothing better than to become the diplomatic Apleteness of nature. Fr has constantly been made a subject of reproach against artists and men of letters that they are lacking in wholeness and As a rule this must necessarily be so. is the

This remarkable man, so powerful with "pen, pencil and poison," as a great poet of our own day has finely said of him, was born at whom he subsequently poisoned. His boyhood was passed at Linucian House, Turnham Green, one of those many fine Georgian mansions that death of the latter in 1803, by his uncle, George Edward Griffiths, child seems to have been brought up by his grandfather, and, on the somewhat quaintly that "she is supposed to have understood the writings of Mr Locke as well as perhaps any person of either sex now living." His father did not long survive his young wile, and the little and an obituary notice in the Gentleman's Magazine tells us of her and Wedgwood, and one of the most well-known men of his day. seller, but "a gentleman who dealt in books," the friend of Goldsmith Chiswick, in 1794. His father was to Gray's Inn and Hatton Garden. builder, and to its lovely gardens and well-timbered park he owed have unfortunately disappeared before the inroads of the suburban Wainewright died, in giving him birth, at the early age of twenty-one, hat famous bookseller of whom Johnson said that he was not a book-Review, the partner in another literary speculation of Thomas Davis, the celebrated Dr. Griffiths, the editor and founder of the Monthly 'amiable disposition and numerous accomplishments," and adds His father was the son of a distinguished solicitor His mother was the daughter of Mrs.

that simple and impassioned love of nature which never left him all spiritual influences of Wordsworth's poetry. He went to school at Charles Burney's academy at Hammersmith. Mr. Burney was the who was destined to turn out his most remarkable pupil. He seems who was destined to turn out his nost remarkable pupil. He seems Wainewright often spoke of him with much affection as a philosopher, intellectual side of education, did not forget the importance of early talent as an artist, and Mr. Hazlitt tells us that a drawing-book which feeling. Indeed, painting was the first art that fascinated him. It was not till much later that he sought to find expression by pen or

valley of melancholia from which so many great, perhaps greater, spirits have never emerged. But he was young—only twenty-five years of age—and he soon passed out of the "dead black waters," as he called them, into the larger air of humanistic culture. As he was recovering from the illness that had led him almost to the gates of death, he conceived the idea of taking up literature as an art. "I said with John Woodvil," he cries, "it were a life of gods to dwell in such an element," to see and hear and write brave things :--it might have been to inflicting pain on others, was itself most keenly sensitive to pain. He shrank from suffering as a thing that mars and mains human life, and seems to have wandered through that terrible on to say, "did much towards calming the confusing whirl necessarily incident to sudden mutations. I wept over them tears of happiness and gratitude." He accordingly left the army, with its rough barrack life and coarse mess-room tittle-tattle, and returned to Linden House, to use his own words, he was "broken like a vessel of clay," him for a time. His delicately strung organisation, however indifferent full of this new-born enthusiasm for culture. A severe illness, in which, feelings, parched, hot, and tarnished, were renovated with cool, fresh bloom, simple, beautiful to the simple-hearted." But Art was not the only cause of the change. "The writings of Wordsworth," he goes service. "Art," he tells us, in words that still move many by their ardent sincerity and strange fervour, "Art touched her renegade; by her pure and high influence the noisome mists were purged; who was made for other things. In a short time he wearied of the companions failed to satisfy the refined artistic temperament of one dreams of the romance and chivalry of a soldier's life, and to have become a young guardsman. But the reckless dissipated life of his Before this, however, he seems to have been carried away by boyish

"These high and gusty relishes of life Have no allayings of mortality."

It is impossible not to feel that in this passage we have the utterance of a man who had a true passion for letters. "To see and hear and write brave things," this was his aim.

face of man and woman, and yet found himself looking with intellectual interest across the table at the young writer beneath whose affectations of manner there seemed to him to lie so much unaffected sensibility, and speculates on "what sudden growth of another interest" would have changed his mood, had he known of what different from others. There was something in him of Balzac's Lucien de Rubempré. At times he reminds us of Julien Sorel. De Quincey saw him once. It was at a dinner at Charles Lamb's. "Amongst the on to describe how on that day he had been ill, and had hated the company, all literary men, sat a murderer," he tells us, and he goes were regarded by Hazlitt as being the signs of a new manner in literature: while his rich curly hair, fine eyes, and exquisite white hands gave him the dangerous and delightful distinction of being town as a dandy, and his beautiful rings, his antique cameo breast-pin, and his pale lemon-coloured kid gloves, were well known and indeed then guilty.

His life-work falls naturally under the three heads suggested by terrible sin the guest to whom Lamb paid so much attention was even and others, at a petit-diner. Like Disraeli he determined to startle the under which he choose to hide his seriousness or to reveal his levity. A mask tells us more than a face. These disguises intensified his person-Charles Lamb speaks of "kind light-hearted Wainewright" whose prose is "capital." We hear of him entertaining Macready, John Forster, Maginn, Talfourd, Sir Wentworth Dilke the poet, John Clare, genius, or under the influence of the strange fascination that he exercised on every one who knew him, invited him to write a series of articles on artistic subjects, and under a series of fanciful pseudonyms Egomet Bonmot, and Van Vinkvooms, were some of the grotesque masks he began to contribute to the literature of his day. Scott, the editor of the London Magazine, struck by the young man' In an incredibly short time he seems to have made his mark Janus Weathercock,

His life-work falls naturally under the three heads suggested by Mr. Swinburne, and it may be partly admitted that, if we set aside his achievements in the sphere of poison, what he has actually left

But then it is only the Philistine who seeks to estimate a personality by the vulgar test of production. This young dandy sought to be somebody, rather than to do something. He recognised that Life itself is an art, and has its modes of style no less than the arts that seek to express it. Nor is his work without interest. We hear of William Blake stopping in the Royal Academy before one of his pictures and pronouncing it to be "very fine." His essays are prefiguring of much that has since been realised. He seems to have anticipated some of those accidents of modern culture that are regarded by many as true essentials. He writes about La Gioconda, and early French poets and

the Italian Renaissance. He loves Greek gems, and Persian carpets, and Elizabethan translations of Cupid and Psyche, and the Hypnan-tomachia, and book-bindings, and early editions, and wide-margined proofs. He is keenly sensitive to the value of beautiful surroundings, and never wearies of describing to us the rooms in which he lived or would have liked to live. He had that curious love of green, which in individuals is always the sign of a subtle artistic temperament, and in nations is said to denote a laxity, if not a decadence of morals Like Baudelaire he was extremely fond of cats, and with Gautier, he was fascinated by that "sweet marble monster," of both sexes that we can still see at Florence and in the Louvre.

There is, of course, much in his descriptions, and his suggestions for decoration, that shows that he did not entirely free himself from the false taste of his time. But it is clear that he was one of the first to recognise what is, indeed, the very keynote of æsthetic eelecticism. I mean the true harmony of all really beautiful things irrespective of age or place, of school or manner. He saw that in decorating a room, which is to be, not a room for show, but a room to live in, we should never aim at any archæological reconstruction of the past, nor burden ourselves with any fanciful necessity for historical accuracy. In this artistic perception he was perfectly right. All beautiful things belong to the same age.

And so, in his own library, as he describes it, we find the delicate fictile vase of the Greek, with its exquisitely painted figures and the faint KAAOE 1 finely traced upon its side, and behind it hangs an engraving of the "Delphic Sibyl" of Michael Angelo, or of the here a rude lamp from some old Roman tomb. On the table lies a book of Hours, "cased in a cover of solid silver gilt, wrought with quaint devices and studded with small brilliants and rubies," and close by it "squats a little ugly monster, a Lar, perhaps, dug up in the sunny fields of corn-bearing Sicily." Some dark antique one carved in ivory, the other moulded in wax." He has his trays of Tassie's gems, his tiny Louis-Quatorze bonbomière with a miniature by Pettitot, his highly prized "brown-biscuit teapots, filagree-worked," his citron morocco letter-case, and his "pomona-green"

One can fancy him lying there in the midst of his books and casts and engravings, a true virtuoso, a subtle connoisseur turning over his fine collection of Marc Antonios, and his Turner's "Liber Studiorum," of which he was a warm admirer, or examining with a magnifier some of his antique gems and cameos, "the head of Alexander on an onyx of two strata," or "that superb allissimo reliavo on cornelian, Jupiter Agiochus." He was always a great amateur of engravings, and gives some very useful suggestions as to the best means of forming a collection. Indeed, while fully appreciating modern art, he never lost sight of the

importance of reproductions of the great masterpieces of the past, and all that he says about the value of plaster casts is quite admirable.

Sir David's pictures as he did for Mr. Crabbe's poems. With the imitative and realistic tendencies of his day he had no sympathy, and he tells us frankly that his great admiration for Fuseli was largely due to the fact that the little Swiss did not consider it necessary that an artist should paint only what he sees. The qualities that he sought free ourselves from the influence of custom and the influence of novelty. He certainly could not, and he frankly acknowledges how difficult it is to form any fair estimate of contemporary work. But, on the whole, his taste was good and sound. He admired Turner and Constable for in a picture were composition, beauty and dignity of line, richness of colour, and imaginative power. Upon the other hand, he was not a doctrinaire. "I hold that no work of art can be tried otherwise water, meadows, cottages and houses; little more than topography, a kind of pictorial mapwork; in which rainbows, showers, mists, and saw that for the highest landscape art we require more than "mere industry and accurate transcription." Of Crome's "Heath Scene near Norwich" he remarks that it shows "how much a subtle observation at a time when they were not so much thought of as they are now ment, this "taste," as he calls it, being unconsciously guided and made criticising painters so different as Landseer and Martin, Stothard and than by laws deduced from itself: whether or not it be consistent with itself is the question." This is one of his excellent aphorisms. And in he was charmed to entertain Wilkie at dinner, he cared as little for a thorough dislike of what is obvious or commonplace in art, and while all the most valuable materials of the real painter, are not." He had haloes, large beams shooting through rifted clouds, storms, starlight is "simply an enumeration of hill and dale, stumps of trees, shrubs, of the elements, in their wild moods, does for a most uninteresting is neither to the intellect nor to the emotions, but purely to the artistic method, which has since yielded such rich fruit, did not belong to his for abstract discussions on the nature of the Beautiful, and the historical asthetic criticism is to realise one's own impressions. He cared nothing impressions produced by a work of art, and certainly the first step in there are tashions in dress, and perhaps none of us can ever quite form of right judgment. Of course there are fashions in art just as perfect by frequent contact with the best work, becomes in the end a day, but he never lost sight of the great truth that Art's first appeal the object as in itself it really is." Etty, he shows that, to use a phrase now classical, he is trying "to see As an art-critic he concerned himself primarily with the complex "and of the popular type of landscape of his day he says that it

However, as I pointed out before, he never feels quite at his ease in his criticisms of contemporary work. "The present," he says, "is about as agreeable a confusion to me as Ariosto on the first perusal.... Modern things dazzle me. I must look at them through Time's

telescope. Elia complains that to him the merit of a MS. poem is uncertain; 'print,' as he excellently says, 'settles it.' Fifty years' toning does the same thing to a picture." He is happier when he is writing about Watteau and Lancret, about Rubens and Giorgione, about Rembrandt, Corregio, and Michael Angelo; happiest of all when he is writing about Greek things. What is Gothic touched him very little, but classical art and the art of the Renaissance were always dear to him. He saw what our English school could gain from a study of Greek models, and never wearies of pointing out to the young student the artistic possibilities that lie dormant in Hellenic marbles and Hellenic methods of work. In his judgments on the great Italian Masters, says De Quincey, "there seemed a tone of sincerity and of a copier from books." The highest praise that we can give to him is that he tried to revive style as a conscious tradition. But he saw that no amount of art lectures or art congresses, or "plans for advancing wisely, and in the true spirit of Toynbee Hall, must always have "the best models constantly before their eyes."

As is to be expected from one who was a painter, he is often extremely technical in his art criticisms. Of Tintoret's "St. George delivering the Egyptian Princess from the Dragon," he remarks:—

"The robe of Sabra, warmly glazed with Prussian blue, is relieved from the pale greenish background by a vermilion scarf; and the full bues of both are beautifully echoed, as it were, in a lower key by the purple-lake coloured stuffs and bluish iron armour of the saint, besides an ample balance to the vivid azure drapery on the foreground in the indigo shades of the wild wood surrounding the castle."

And elsewhere he talks learnedly of "a delicate Schiavone, various as a tulip-bed, with rich broken tints," of "a glowing portrait, remarkable for *morbidezza*, by the scarce Moroni," and of another picture being "pulpy in the carnations."

But, as a rule, he deals with his impressions of the work as an artistic whole, and tries to translate those impressions into words, to give, as it were, the literary equivalent for the imaginative and mental effect. He was one of the first to develop what has been called the art-literature of the nineteenth century, that form of literature which has found in Mr. Ruskin and Mr. Browning its two most perfect exponents. His description of Lancret's Robas Italian, in which "a dark-haired girl, 'amorous of mischief,' lies on the daisy-powdered grass," is in some respects very charming. Here is his account of his style:—

¹Delicateness

"Darkness—sooty, portentous darkness—shrouds the whole scene: only above the accursed wood, as if through a horrid rift in the murky ceiling, a rainy deluge—" sleety-flaw, discoloured water"—streams down amain, spreading a grisly spectral light, even more horrible than that palpable night. Already the Earth pants thick and fast! the darkened Cross trembles! the winds are dropt—the air is stagnant—a muttering rumble growls underneath their feet, and some of that miserable crowd begin to fly down the hill. The horses snuff the coming terror, and become unmanageable through fear. The moment rapidly approaches when, nearly torn asunder by His own weight, fainting with loss of blood, which now runs in narrower rivulets from His slit veins, His temples and breast drowned in sweat and His black tongue parched with the fiery death-fever, Jesus cries, 'I thirst.' The deadly vinegar is elevated to Him.

"His head sinks, and the sacred corpse 'swings senseless of the cross.' A sheet of vermilion flame shoots sheer through the air and vanishes; the rocks of Carmel and Lebanon cleave asunder; the sea rolls on high from the sands its black weltering waves. Earth yawns, and the graves give up their dwellers. The dead and the living are mingled together in unnatural conjunction and hurry through the holy city. New prodigies await them there. The veil of the temple—the unpierceable veil—is rent asunder from top to bottom, and that dreaded recess containing the Hebrew mysteries—the fatal ark with the tables and seven-branched candelabrum—is disclosed by the light of unearthly flames to the God-deserted multitude.

"Rembrandt never painted this sketch, and he was quite right. It would have lost nearly all its charms in losing that perplexing veil of indistinctness which affords such ample range wherein the doubting imagination may speculate. At present it is like a thing in another world. A dark gulf is betwixt us. It is not tangible by the body. We can only approach it in the spirit."

In this passage, written, the author tells us, "in awe and reverence," there is much that is terrible, and very much that is quite horrible, but it is not without a certain crude form of power, or, at any rate, a certain crude violence of words, a quality which this age should highly appreciate, as it is its chief defect. It is pleasanter, however, to pass to this description of Giulio Romano's "Cephalus and Procris":—

"We should read Moschus's lament for Bion, the sweet shepherd, before looking at this picture, or study the

of the meeting trees, and the rivers moan for white Procris, courting pines; while the dryads bend from the branches who love to scale the most inaccessible tops of all uprightest rocks, hurry down from the song of their wind-The sheep and goats leave their pasture; and oreads, the satyrs, too, and fauns dark-veiled groan, and the craggy lands, and the swallow in the long-winding vales; the same images in both. For either victim the high groves and forest dells murmur; the flowers exhale sad with many-sobbing streams. fountain nymphs within the wood melt into tearful waters, perfume from their buds; the nightingale mourns on the picture as a preparation for the lament. We have nearly

'Filling the far-seen ocean with a voice.'

thick hair in mockery. Thessalian king, holding between his knees that ivory-bright body which was, but an instant agone, parting the on thorns and flowers with jealously-stung foot-now helprough boughs with her smooth forehead, and treading alike less, heavy, void of all motion, save when the breeze lifts her trable to no star, at the entrance of which sits the stunned rather suddenly on the right to a clustering grove, peneagain throwing out light-green shoots. This bank rises stumps of trees stocked untimely by the axe, which are rendered more uneven by many foot-tripping roots and the knelling horn of Aurora's love no more shall scatter away the cold twilight on the top of Hymettus. The foreinto swells and hollows like waves (a sort of land-breakers), ground of our subject is a grassy sunburnt bank, broken The golden bees are silent on the thymy Hymettus; and

nymphs press forward with loud cries-"From between the closely-neighboured boles astonished

And deerskin-vested satyrs, crowned with ivy twists, And put strange pity in their horned countenance. advance;

from her urn her grief-telling waters. Above and more rocky wall, on whose lowest ridge a brook-guardian pours the left in a sunken path between the foreground and a goats, satyrs, and satyr-mothers, pressing their children tighter with their fearful hands, who hurry along from to an approaching troop of sylvan people, fauns, rams, Virtuous Love with 'vans dejected' holds forth the arrow "Laclaps lies beneath, and shows by his panting the rapid pace of death. On the other side of the group,

> vast strength of the ocean stream, from whose floor the extinguisher of stars, rosy Aurora, drives furiously up her brine-washed steeds to behold the death-pangs of her meadows, sinking down to a river-mouth; beyond is 'the shorn grove. The centre of the picture is filled by shady locks, appears among the vine-festooned pillars of an unremote than the Ephidryad, another female, rending her

Were this description carefully re-written, it would be quite admirable. The conception of making a prose poem out of paint is excellent. Much of the best modern literature springs from the same aim. In a very ugly and sensible age, the arts borrow, not from life, but from each other.

and, with the art of the true comedian, borrow their style from their Elton, and Leigh Hunt without anything of the malice of a friend, Some of his sketches of Charles Lamb are admirable in their way, Wordsworth was sincere and profound. He thoroughly appreciated William Blake. One of the best copies of the "Songs of Innocence and Experience" that is now in existence was wrought specially for him. He loved Alain Chartier, and Ronsard, and the Elizabethan dramatists, and Chaucer and Chapman, and Petrarch. And to him all the arts were one. "Our critics," he remarks with much wisdom, generous, and praises Barry Cornwall, Allan Cunningham, Hazlit, talks of his love for Milton, he is deceiving either himself or his listeners. To his fellow contributors in the *London Magazine* he was always most art co-generates a proportionate perfection in the other;" and he says elsewhere that if a man who does not admire Michael Angelo sensitive and poetical Shelley," as he calls him. His admiration for the line is to be drawn. In literature, again, like Lord Beaconsfield on a famous occasion, he was "on the side of the angels." He was is worth doing at all is worth doing well;" and he points out that once we allow the intrusion of anachronisms, it becomes difficult to say where painting, nor that any true advancement in the serious study of one one of the first to admire Keats and Shelley-" the tremulouslyand strongly upheld the necessity for archæological accuracy in costume and scene-painting. " In art," he says in one of his essays, " whatever nected with the stage, for instance, he was always extremely interested seem hardly aware of the identity of the primal seeds of poetry and His sympathies, too, were wonderfully varied. In everything con-

man: as gentle a heart as ever sent tears to the eyes. "What can I say of thee more than all know? that thou hadst the gaiety of a boy with the knowledge of a

in a conceit most seasonably out of season. His talk without affectation was compressed, like his beloved Elizabethans, "How wittily would he mistake your meaning, and put

nothing; the lyrics were the high things—the lyrics ! " Beaumont and Fletcher he induced light dreams. He would deliver critical touches on these, like one inspired, but it was good to let him choose his own game; if another began even on the acknowledged pets he was liable but was instantly taken up by Elia, who told him . That was haughty style of a tragedy (I don't know which of them), subject of chat. Mr. X. commended the passion and whether as misapprehensive or mischievous. One night at to interrupt, or rather append, in a mode difficult to define, of many-folio odour; and with the heyday comedies of men of genius was a standing dish. Sir Thomas Browne was In his amorous vein he dallied with that peerless Duchess a 'bosom cronie' of his; so was Burton, and old Fuller, spurious fame, and a caustic observation on the fashion for would beat out into whole sheets. He had small mercy on even unto obscurity. Like grains of fine gold, his sentences --- 's, the above dramatic partners were the temporary

This being the least valuable side of his work, is the one that has had the most obvious influence. A publicist, nowadays, is a man who bores the community with the details of the illegalities of his private wines he likes, and in what state of health he is, just as if he were writing weekly notes for some popular newspaper of our own time. tinued reiteration to make the public interested in his own personality, and in his purely journalistic articles this extraordinary young man Fleet Street leader-writers, and this school Janus Weathercock may be said to have invented. He also saw that it was quite easy by conhighest achievements of an important and much admired school of have a style so gorgeous that it conceals the subject is one of the and delighted in pictorial epithets and pompous exaggerations. of the early part of this century. He was the pioneer of Asiatic prose, journalism may be said to owe almost as much to him as to any man One side of his literary career deserves especial notice. Modern

all to me." He writes about his wandering over fragrant furze and heath, repeating Collins's "Ode to Evening," just to catch the fine quality of the moment; about smothering his face "in a watery bed the sweet-breathed kine "pass slowly homeward through the twilight," and hearing "the distant clank of the sheep-bell." One phrase of his, "the polyanthus glowed in its cold bed of earth, like a solitary picture of cowslips, wet with May dews;" and about the pleasure of seeing with the consciousness of neighbourhood. The country gives them thick trees while the sun shines around me; and to enjoy solitude three things in high estimation," he says somewhere: "to sit lazily on an eminence that commands a rich prospect; to be shadowed by Like most artificial people, he had a great love of nature. "I hold

> temperament, and this passage is rather pretty in its way :--of Giorgione on a dark oaken panel," is curiously characteristic of his

PEN, PENGIL AND POISON

a boy scaring away the birds from the newly-sown seeds. "such that men called dairies in our town"—thick as stars on a summer's night. The harsh caw of the busy rooks came church showed sharply out with blinding whiteness. vapour, against which the near village with its ancient stone marine; not a cloud streaked the calm æther; only round some distance off, and at intervals was heard the voice of the horizon's edge streamed a light, warm film of misty The blue depths were the colour of the darkest ultrapleasantly mellowed from a high dusky grove of elms at thought of Wordsworth's 'Lines written in March.'" "The short tender grass was covered with marguerites-

Street, Regent Street. With them were the two sisters, Helen and Madeleine Abercrombie. On the evening of the 14th they all went as follows. On the 12th of December, he and his wife and child came up to London from Linden House, and took lodgings at No. 12 Conduit they had insured her life in various offices. The circumstances were reason. But the murder of Helen Abercrombie was carried out by sister-in-law. Why he murdered Mrs. Abercrombie is not ascertained murders, says De Quincey, were more than were ever made known judicially. This is no doubt so, and some of them are worthy of mention. His first victim was his uncle, Mr. Thomas Griffiths. He poisoned him in 1829 to gain possession of Linden House, a place to which he had always been very much attached. In the August of the to us. Even in later days, too, he was always reticent on the matter, and preferred to speak about "The Excursion," and the "Poems founded on the Affections." There is no doubt, however, that the poison that he used was strychnine. In one of the beautiful rings of which he was so proud, and which served to show off the fine modelling himself and his wife for the sake of a sum of about £18,000, for which power that was in him, or because she suspected something, or for no It may have been for a caprice, or to quicken some hideous sense of following December he poisoned the lovely Helen Abercrombie, next year he poisoned Mrs. Abercrombie, his wife's mother, and in the of his delicate ivory hands, he used to carry crystals of the Indian nux somica, a poison, one of his biographers tells us, "nearly tasteless, difficult of discovery, and capable of almost infinite dilution." His ments and the methods that he adopted, has unfortunately been lost first became fascinated by this strange sin he does not tell us, and the diary in which he carefully noted the results of his terrible experiof the most subtle and secret poisoners of this or any age. How he influences, was also, as I said at the beginning of this memoir, one penned these lines, and who was so susceptible to Wordsworthian However, we must not forget that the cultivated young man who

she was extremely ill, and Dr. Locock, of Hanover Square, was called in to attend her. She lived till Monday, the 20th, when, after the doctor's morning visit, Mr. and Mrs. Wainewright brought her some poisoned jelly, and then went out for a walk. When they returned, Helen Abercrombie was dead. She was about twenty years of age, have no accomplices. Quincey says that Mrs. Wainewright was not really privy to the murder. Let us hope that she was not. Sin should be solitary, and a tall graceful girl with fair hair. A very charming red-chalk drawing for whose work he had always entertained a great admiration. his style as an artist was influenced by Sir Thomas Lawrence, a painter of her by her brother-in-law is still in existence, and shows how much to the play, and at suppor that night Helen sickened. The next day

all who knew him." In 1837 he returned to England privately. Some strange mad fascination brought him back. He followed a woman tour through the most picturesque parts of Brittany, and was for a time the guest of an old French gentleman, who had a beautiful country house at St. Omer. From this he moved to Paris, where he remained for/several years, living in luxury, some say, while others talk of his "skulking with poison in his pocket, and being dreaded by all who knew him." In 1837 he returned to England principle. next day in his presence, and he left Boulogne at once for a sketching doing this. His aim was simply to revenge himself on the first office that had refused to pay him the price of his sin. His friend died the crystals of strychnine into his coffee as they sat together one evening after dinner. He himself did not gain any monetary advantage by agreed that one decision should govern an however, did not come on for five years, when, after one disagreement, a verdict was ultimately given in the companies' favour. The judge on the occasion was Lord Abinger. Egomet Bonnot was represented by Mr. Erle and Sir William Follet, and the Attorney-General and Sir Frederick Pollock appeared for the other side. The plaintiff, unformation of the trials. The arrested for debt in the streets of London while he was serenading the pretty daughter of one of his friends. This difficulty was got over at the time, but shortly afterwards he thought it better to go abroad till fortunately, was unable to be present at either of the trials. The refusal of the companies to give him the £18,000 had placed him in a position of most painful pecuniary embarrassment. Indeed, a few months after the murder of Helen Abercrombie, he had been actually had been gone through and the policy executed, he dropped some in question, and while he was there induced him to insure his life with accordingly went to Boulogne on a visit to the father of the young lady he could come to some practical arrangement with his creditors. He and want of interest, and with curious courage the poisoner entered an action in the Court of Chancery against the Imperial, it being agreed that one decision should govern all the cases. The trial, declined to pay the policy on the technical ground of misrepresentation Pelican Company for 3000l. As soon as the necessary formaline The insurance companies, suspecting the real facts of the case,

> marriage settlement. He knew that this forgery had been discovered, and that by returning to England he was imperilling his life. Yet he in Covent Garden. His sitting-room was on the ground floor, and he which he had inherited from his mother, and had brought into attorney, which enabled him to get possession of some of the money before, when he was making his fine collection of majolica and Marc Antonios, he had forged the names of his trustees to a power of prudently kept the blinds down for fear of being seen. Thirteen years beautiful. returned. Should one wonder? It was said that the woman was very It was the month of June, and he was staying at one of the hotels Besides, she did not love him.

It was by a mere accident that he was discovered. A noise in the street attracted his attention, and, in his artistic interest in modern life, he pushed aside the blind for a moment. Some one outside called out, "That's Wainewright, the Bank-forger." It was Forrester, the Bow Street runner.

On the 5th of July he was brought up at the Old Bailey. following report of the proceedings appeared in the Timus:—

gentlemanly appearance, wearing mustachios, Thomas Griffiths Wainewright, aged forty-two, a man of Company of the Bank of England. dicted for forging and uttering a certain power of attorney for £2259, with intent to defraud the Governor and "Before Mr. Justice Vaughan and Mr. Baron Alderson, Was in-

of which he pleaded not guilty, when he was arraigned before Mr. Serjeant Arabin in the course of the morning. of a capital nature. pleaded guilty to two of the indictments which were not to be allowed to withdraw the former plea, and then On being brought before the judges, however, he begged "There were five indictments against the prisoner, to all

charges was recorded and the prisoner at the close of the session sentenced by the Recorder to transportation for life." desire to shed blood, the plea of guilty on the two minor were three other indictments, but that the Bank did not "The counsel for the Bank having explained that there

out, with a good deal of reason, some people may fancy, that the money was practically his own, having come to him from his mother of death. himself "lying in Horsemonger Gaol under sentence of death," for The sentence now passed on him was to a man of his culture a form having been unable to resist the temptation of stealing some Marc Antonios from the British Museum in order to complete his collection. He was taken back to Newgate, preparatory to his removal to the In a fanciful passage in one of his early essays he had fancied He complained bitterly of it to his friends, and pointed

and that the forgery, such as it was, had been committed thirteen year before, which, to use his own phrase, was at least a circonstance attenuant. The permanence of personality is a very subtle metaphysical problem, and certainly the English law solves the question in an extremely rough-and-ready manner. There is, however, something dramatic in the fact that this heavy punishment was inflicted on him for what, if we remember his fatal influence on the prose of modern journalism, was certainly not the worst of all his sins.

Was certainly not the worst of all his sins.

While he was in gaol, Dickens, Macready, and Hablot Browne came across him by chance. They had been going over the prisons of London, searching for artistic effects, and in Newgate they suddenly caught sight of Wainewright. He met them with a defiant stare, Forster tells us, but Macready was "horrified to recognise a man familiarly known to him in former years and at whose table he had

Others had more curiosity, and his cell was for some time a kind of fashionable lounge. Many men of letters went down to visit their old literary comrade. But he was no longer the kind light-hearted Janus whom Charles Lamb admired. He seems to have grown quite cynical.

cynical.

To the agent of an insurance company who was visiting him one afternoon, and thought he would improve the occasion by pointing out that, after all, crime was a bad speculation, he replied: "Sir, you Some of your speculations succeed, some fail. Mine happen to have sir, between my visitor and me. But, sir, I will tell you one thing in life to hold the position of a gentleman. I have always done so. I do shall take his morning's turn of sweeping it out. I occupy a cell with a friend reproached him with the murder of Helen Abercrombie he shrugged his shoulders and said, "Yes; it was a dreadful thing to do, but she had very thick ankles."

From Newgate he was brought to the hulks at Portsmouth, and sent from there in the Suan to Van Diemen's Land along with three tasteful to him, and in a letter written to a friend he spoke bitterly compelled to associate with "country bumpkins." The phrase that he applies to his companions need not surprise us. Crime in England is There was probably no one on board in whom he would have nature.

His love of art, however, never deserted him. At Hobart Town he started a studio, and returned to sketching and portrait-painting, and

his conversation and manners seem not to have lost their charm. Nor did he give up his habit of poisoning, and there are two cases on record in which he tried to make away with people who had offended him. But his hand seems to have lost its cunning. Both of his attempts were complete failures, and in 1844, being thoroughly dissatisfied with Tasmanian society, he presented a memorial to the governor of the settlement, Sir John Eardley Wilmot, praying for a ticket-of-leave. In it he speaks of himself as being "tormented by ideas struggling for outward form and realisation, barred up from increase of knowledge, and deprived of the exercise of profitable or even of decorous speech." His request, however, was refused, and the associate of Coleridge consoled himself by making those marvellous Paradis Artificials whose secret is only known to the eaters of opium. In 1852 he died of apoplexy, his sole living companion being a cat, for which he had evinced an extraordinary affection.

His crimes seem to have had an important effect upon his art. They gave a strong personality to his style, a quality that his early work certainly lacked. In a note to the Life of Dickens, Forster mentions that in 1847 Lady Blessington received from her brother, Major Powell, who held a military appointment at Hobart Town, an oil portrait of a young lady from his clever brush; and it is said that "he had contrived to put the expression of his own wickedness into the portrait of a nice, kind-hearted girl." M. Zola, in one of his novels, tells us of a young man who, having committed a murder, takes to art, and paints greenish impressionist portraits of perfectly respectable people, all of which bear a curious resemblance to his victim. The development of Mr. Wainewright's style seems to me far more subtle and suggestive. One can fancy an intense personality being created out of sin.

This strange and fascinating figure that for a few years dazzled literary London, and made so brilliant a dibut in life and letters, is undoubtedly a most interesting study. Mr. W. Carew Hazlitt, his latest biographer, to whom I am indebted for many of the facts contained in this memoir, and whose little book is, indeed, quite invaluable in its way, is of opinion that his love of art and nature was a mere pretence and assumption, and others have denied to him all literary power. This seems to me a shallow, or at least a mistaken, view. The domestic virtues are not the true basis of art, though they may serve as an excellent advertisement for second-rate artists. It is possible that De Quincey exaggerated his critical powers, and I cannot help saying again that there is much in his published works that is too familiar, too common, too journalistic, in the bad sense of that bad word. Here and there he is distinctly vulgar in expression, and he is always lacking in the self-restraint of the true artist. But for some of his faults we must blame the time in which he lived, and, after all, prose that Charles Lamb thought "capital" has no small historic interest. That he had a sincere love of art and nature seems to me

gratifying our moral sense of what should be. quite certain. There is no essential incongruity between crime and We cannot re-write the whole of history for the purpose of

suggestive for fiction is to be of more importance than a fact Lucretia; and it is gratifying to note that fiction has paid some homage to one who was so powerful with "pen, pencil and poison." To be great criminals of the Italian Renaissance from the pens of Mr. John Addington Symonds, Miss A. Mary F. Robinson, Miss Vernon Lee, and other distinguished writers. However, Art has not forgotten him. science knows anything of moral approval or disapproval. And so it may be some day with Charles Lamb's friend. At present I feel that he is just a little too modern to be treated in that fine spirit of disin-He is the hero of Dickens's Hunted Down, the Varney of Bulwer's terested curiosity to which we owe so many charming studies of the have passed into the sphere of art and science, and neither art nor or horror, or wonder, but they do not harm us. They are not in or scolding Tiberius, or censuring Cæsar Borgia. These personages have become like the puppers of a play. They may fill us with terror, immediate relation to us. We have nothing to fear from them. successful schoolmaster. This, however, is a foolish habit, and merely shows that the moral instinct can be brought to such a pitch of who still think it necessary to apply moral judgments to history, and who distribute their praise or blame with the solemn complacency of a perfection that it will make its appearance wherever it is not required. Nobody with the true historical sense ever dreams of blaming Nero, that there are many historians, or at least writers on historical subjects, perfectly unprejudiced estimate of his position and value. I know but this century and this land, we would be quite able to arrive at a or in Spain in the seventeenth century, or in any land or any century had he lived in imperial Rome, or at the time of the Italian Renaissance, man worn a costume and spoken a language different from our own, Tennyson, or Mr. Gladstone, or the Master of Balliol. But had the feel a strong prejudice against a man who might have possoned Lord form any purely artistic judgment about him. It is impossible not to Of course, he is far too close to our own time for us to be able to They

THE CRITIC AS ARTIST

PART ONE

With some remarks upon the importance of doing nothing

A Dialogue

persons: Gilbert and Ernest. scene: house in Piccadilly, overlooking the Green Park. the library of

ERNEST (looking up): At a capital story that I have just come across in this volume of Reminiscences that I have found on your GILBERT (at the piano): My dear Ernest, what are you laughing at?

Is it good? GILBERT: What is the book? Ah! I see. I have not read it yet

ERNEST: Well, while you have been playing, I have been turning over the pages with some amusement, though, as a rule, I dislike modern memoirs. They are generally written by people who have remembering; which, however, is, no doubt, the true explanation of their popularity, as the English public always feels perfectly at its ease either entirely lost their memories, or have never done anything worth when a mediocrity is talking to it.

GIBERT: Yes; the public is wonderfully tolerant. It forgives everything except genius. But I must confess that I like all memoirs. I like them for their form, just as much as for their matter. In literature mere egoism is delightful. It is what fascinates us in the letters of supreme scoundrel of the Renaissance relates the story of his splendour the green and gold Perseus, even, that in the open Loggia at Florence shows the moon the dead terror that once turned life to stone, have confessed his sins, not to a priest, but to the world, and the couchant nymphs that Cellini wrought in bronze for the castle of King Francis, mere egotism is delightful. It is what fascinates us in the letters of personalities so different as Cicero and Balzac, Flaubert and Berlioz, Byron and Madame de Sévigné. Whenever we come across it, and, and his shame. The opinions, the character, the achievements of the man, matter very little. He may be a sceptic like the gentle Sieur de not easily forget it. Humanity will always love Rousseau for having strangely enough, it is rather rare, we cannot but welcome it, and do sented—if that can be called a mode of thought which seeks to solve us his own secrets he can always charm our cars to listening and our not given it more pleasure than has that autobiography in which the intellectual problems by a denial of the supremacy of the intellect lips to silence. Montaigne, or a saint like the bitter son of Monica, but when he tells The mode of thought that Cardinal Newman repre-